# Demographics, Fracture Characteristics, and Treatment Strategies of Periprosthetic Distal Femur Fractures Compared to Native Distal Femur Fractures Shana Kong, B.S.<sup>1,</sup> Barry Bautista, B.S.<sup>1</sup>, Augustine Saiz, M.D.<sup>2,</sup> Max Haffner, M.D.<sup>2,</sup> Judas Kelley, M.D.<sup>2</sup> Mark Lee, M.D.<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, UC Davis Health, CA 95817

### Introduction

There is a lack of literature that provides clinical comparisons between periprosthetic distal femur fractures (PDFF) and native distal femur fractures (NDFF), as well as the populations affected.

### Objective

Analyze the demographics, fracture characteristics, and treatment strategies associated with periprosthetic distal femur fractures (PDFF) compared to native distal femur fractures (NDFF).

Methods





•Women represented 81% of PDFFs, with an average age of 80 years old (Image A). •PDFFs were commonly isolated injuries with AO/OTA Classification 33A.3 (Image B). •NDFFs were often associated with polytrauma (Table A), with AO/OTA Classification 33C.2 (Image C). Intramedullary Nailing was the most common fixation for both groups, while nail-plate was the second most common in PDFF (Image D).

•PDFFs experienced significantly shorter length-of-stays but had significantly higher rates of low bone density and higher rates of re-operation compared to NDFF (Table B).



# Results

45.00% **3**5.00% **⊃** 30.00% ₩ 25.00% **5** 20.00% **≥** 15.00% Z 10.00% 5.00%

### Table A: Fracture Characteristics

Isolated Injury	
Polytrauma	
Comminution	
Interprosthetic Fracture?	

•PDFFs frequently occur as isolated, extraarticular or comminuted injuries compared to NDFF. •While intramedullary nailing was the most common fixation for both groups, hybrid fixation is becoming more common for PDFF. •Elderly women with knee replacements and poor bone quality are a high-risk group for PDFF.



1) Elsoe, R., Ceccotti, A. A., & Larsen, P. (2017). Population-based epidemiology and incidence of distal femur fractures. International Orthopaedics, 42(1), 191–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-017-3665-1

2) Benkovich, V., Klassov, Y., Mazilis, B., & Bloom, S. (2020). Periprosthetic fractures of the knee: a comprehensive review. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery & Traumatology, 30(3), 387–399. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00590-019-02582-5



and Re-operation								
	NDFF (n=139)		PDFF	NDFF	α-value			
	(1-100)	Length-of-stay	6.36 days	11.4 days	0.05	<u>P-value: 0.00172</u>		
	51.8%	Prevalence of	55.7%	19.4%	0.05	<u>P-value: .00001</u>		
	41.0%	Low Bone Density						
	92.1%	Re-operation occurrences	8.57%	13.6%	0.05	P-value: .283804		
	_	Most common reason for re-operation	Revise Fixation (3) Nonunion (3)	I&D (7)		_		

Table B: Length-Of-Stay, Bone Density,

# Conclusion

### Department of Orthopaedic Surgery

### References