

## INTRODUCTION

- Early diagnosis of melanoma is imperative for improved survival
- The diagnosis of melanoma is based on histopathologic evaluation but lacks interobserver agreement in up to 10-25% of cases<sup>1</sup>, showing the diagnostic difficulty in a subset of melanocytic neoplasms
- Improved molecular diagnostic markers are needed, which may impact diagnosis and treatment recommendations<sup>2</sup>
- p16, the protein product of *CDKN2A*, is a gene frequently mutated in melanomagenesis<sup>3,4</sup>
- p16 immunohistochemistry (IHC) is becoming a commonly used marker for evaluating challenging melanocytic neoplasms
- Prospective studies on the impact of p16 IHC on the diagnosis, diagnostic confidence, and treatment recommendations by dermatopathologists of melanocytic neoplasms are lacking

## AIM

- The aim of the study was to determine the impact of p16 immunohistochemistry stain on dermatopathologists' diagnosis, diagnostic confidence, and treatment recommendations of melanocytic neoplasms

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Institutional Review Board approval was obtained at University of California Davis prior to the initiation of the study
- All three board-certified dermatopathologists at the University of California, Davis participated in the study
- All cases of melanocytic neoplasms between October 2017 and June 2019 where a dermatopathologist ordered a p16 IHC stain were prospectively included
- For each case, the dermatopathologist completed a survey to assess their favored diagnosis, diagnostic confidence, and treatment recommendation before and after the p16 IHC stain (Figure 1)

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- Exclusion criteria included if p16 was obtained for non-melanocytic neoplasms or if the pre- or post-test survey was not returned
- Changes in diagnosis, confidence in diagnosis, and treatment recommendations were calculated
- Two and three category change indicator variables were generated based on the values of the difference, *i.e.*, changed (difference  $\neq$  0) and unchanged (difference = 0) and no change (difference = 0), upgrade (difference > 0) and downgrade (difference < 0) changes
- Frequency tables were generated to show the proportions of cases with or without changes
- Chi-squared test or Fischer's exact test (if any cell <5) were used to explore the association of confidence with consultation

## RESULTS

- There were 84 cases with a response rate of 88% (74/84), of which 81% (68/84) met criteria
- Pre- and post-test diagnoses are outlined in Table 1
- Overall, nearly half of the cases (33/68, 48.5%) showed an increase in confidence after the p16 IHC stain (Table 1, Table 2)
- The diagnosis and treatment recommendations changed in 12.5% (8/64) of cases and 17.7% (11/62) of cases, respectively (Table 1, Table 2)
- Notably, 56/65 (86%) cases were shared in consultation, though no association was found with confidence (p=0.7)

Table 1: Pre- and post-test survey characteristics

	Pre-test	Post-test
<b>Diagnosis</b>		
<b>Benign</b>	22/64 (34.4%)	20/64 (31.3%)
<b>Malignant</b>	20/64 (31.3%)	20/64 (31.3%)
<b>Indeterminant</b>	22/64 (34.4%)	24/64 (37.5%)
<b>Confidence</b>		
<b>Very unsure</b>	0/68 (0%)	0/68 (0%)
<b>Unsure</b>	12/68 (17.6%)	2/68 (2.9%)
<b>Somewhat unsure</b>	15/68 (22.1%)	12/68 (17.6%)
<b>Neutral</b>	2/68 (2.9%)	10/68 (14.7%)
<b>Somewhat confident</b>	20/68 (29.4%)	15/68 (22.1%)
<b>Confident</b>	19/68 (27.9%)	26/68 (38.2%)
<b>Very confident</b>	0/68 (0%)	3/68 (4.4%)
<b>Treatment recommendation</b>		
<b>No further treatment necessary; Close clinical surveillance</b>	20/62 (32.3%)	17/62 (27.4%)
<b>Excision; Wide local excision; Evaluation for metastasis and/or sentinel node biopsy</b>	42/62 (67.7%)	45/62 (72.6%)

Table 2: Post-test survey changes

<b>Diagnosis change</b>	
<b>Benign to malignant</b>	0
<b>Malignant to benign</b>	0
<b>Benign to indeterminant</b>	4
<b>Indeterminant to benign</b>	2
<b>Malignant to indeterminant</b>	1
<b>Indeterminant to malignant</b>	1
<b>Confidence change</b>	
<b>No change</b>	34
<b>Increased</b>	33
<b>Decreased</b>	1
<b>Treatment recommendation change</b>	
<b>No change</b>	51
<b>More aggressive</b>	7
<b>Less aggressive</b>	4

## CONCLUSIONS

- Our study found that obtaining a p16 IHC stain for ambiguous melanocytic neoplasms correlated with increased diagnostic confidence
- This supports the notion that utilization of ancillary tests may increase diagnostic accuracy of challenging melanocytic neoplasms
- IHC staining is readily available and commonly used in most dermatopathology laboratories, though validation studies are rarely published and often lab-specific
- Most cases were shared with other pathologists in consultation, likely creating an additional influence on the diagnostic confidence, especially given the known benefit that expert review has on the diagnosis of melanocytic neoplasms<sup>5</sup>
- While prospective, our study is limited by the number of participating pathologists at a single institution
- Therefore, further studies are warranted in multiple clinical settings and institutions to assess for any possible differences

## REFERENCES

1. Lodha S, Sagar S, Celebi JT, Silvers DN. Discordance in the histopathologic diagnosis of difficult melanocytic neoplasms in the clinical setting. *J Cutan Pathol.* 2008;35(4):349-52.
2. Cockerell CJ, Tschen J, Evans B, Bess E, Kidd J, Kolquist KA, et al. The influence of a gene expression signature on the diagnosis and recommended treatment of melanocytic tumors by dermatopathologists. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016;95(40):e4887.
3. Reed JA, Loganzo F, Jr., Shea CR, Walker GJ, Flores JF, Glendening JM, et al. Loss of expression of the p16/cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2 tumor suppressor gene in melanocytic lesions correlates with invasive stage of tumor progression. *Cancer Res.* 1995;55(13):2713-8.
4. Koh SS, Cassarino DS. Immunohistochemical Expression of p16 in Melanocytic Lesions: An Updated Review and Meta-analysis. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2018;142(7):815-28.
5. Santillan AA, Messina JL, Marzban SS, Crespo G, Sondak VK, Zager JS. Pathology review of thin melanoma and melanoma in situ in a multidisciplinary melanoma clinic: impact on treatment decisions. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(3):481-6.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Candie Westwood-Olmstead, Cesar Garcia and Tenille Baker for their support in this study.