

BETTY IRENE MOORE SCHOOL OF NURSING

Introduction/Background

- The cessation of opioid drug use can be challenging for those that may not have the appropriate access to community health programs that help reduce the incidence of drug use.
- Needle exchange programs, or NEPs, were created in 1983 to decrease needle sharing and reduce rates of HIV transmission (ACLU, 2021)
- NEPs work to provide access to sterile needles, provide drug screening for bloodborne pathogens, and provide resources for cessation of IV drug use (CDC, 2019).
- We aim to explore the cessation of opioid use among NEPs users.

PICO Question

"Of those in the homeless population that suffer from IV opioid use disorder, what is the rate of achieving sobriety with the use of needle exchange programs, in comparison to those who do not utilize this resource, over the course of one year."

Methods/Search Strategy

- Comprehensive analysis of studies ranging between the years of 1993 and 2021.
- Databases used in this search are as follows: Google Scholar, PubMed, NCBI, CINAHL, and Cochrane Review.
- Literature contained information from various perspectives regarding the impact of NEPs which supported the use of best practice in answering our PICO question.

Effectiveness of Needle Exchange Programs in Aiding IV Opioid Use Cessation

Results/Table of Evidence

Title of Article & Author/s Level of Evidence Drug abuse treatment success among needle exchange participants Brooner et al., 1998 Level III -51% resg. Needle exchange programs and drug infection behavior DeSimone, 2005 Level I -NEPA ago Effectiveness of needle and syringe Programmes in people who inject drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2017 Level I -The et anomical drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2017 Needle exchange and injection drug use frequency: a randomized clinical trial- Fisher et al., 2003 Level III -The et anomical drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2003 Evaluating needle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993 Level III - In n rep pha Evaluating needle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993 Level III - One- exchange referals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 & 2018 Level III - One- exchange referals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 & 2018 Level III - One- and read mor Needle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment is there a long-term connection in Baltimore, Maryland Latkin et al., 2008 Level III - The and read mor Needle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment is there a long-term connection in Ba			
exchange participants Brooner et al., 1998resp. NEPP ALEPSNeedle exchange programs and drug infection behavior DeSimone, 2005Level INEPS NePS HaveEffectiveness of needle and syringe Programmes in people who inject drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2017Level IThe et there thereNeedle exchange and injection drug use frequency: a randomized clinical trial- Fisher et al., 2003Level IIThere any any There phaEvaluating needle exchange; are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993Level III• In m rep repCessation of injection drug use and change in injection frequency: the Chicago Needle Exchange Evaluation Study Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., & Ouellet, L. J., 2006Level III• One- mor olde obtBenefits of concurrent syringe exchange and substance ause treatment participation; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchange referals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidori et al., 2011, 2013 & 2018Level II• Pariti opic opicNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment is there a long-term connection in reap 2018Level III• These add opicNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user threatment: is there a long-term connection in reap 2018Level III• These add reapNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user threatment: Neufeld et al., 2008Level III• These reapNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user threatment: Neufeld et al., 2008 <th>Title of Article & Author/s</th> <th></th> <th></th>	Title of Article & Author/s		
behavior DeSimone, 2005hawEffectiveness of needle and syringe Programmes in people who inject drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2017Level I•The cNeedle exchange and injection drug use frequency: a randomized clinical trial- Fisher et al., 2003Level II•Therr optic opticNeedle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993Level III• In n rep optic opticEvaluating needle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993Level III• In n rep opticEvaluating needle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993Level III• One- mor opticStudy Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., & Ouellet, L. J., 2006Vervel III• One- mor opticBenefits of concurrent syringe exchange and substance abuse treatment participation; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchange reforrals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 & 2018Level II• Partic use opticNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment; is there a long-term connection in Baltimore, Maryland Latin et al., 2006Level III• SEP and rep opticComparison of 1-year substance abuse treatment outcomes in community syringe exchange participants versus other referrals Needled et al., 2008Level III• SEP and and rep opticNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment. so that networks Vearrier, L., 2019Level III• Thes rep and opticNeedle exchange p	•	Level III	resp •NEPs
people who inject drugs - An overview of systematic reviews Fernandes et al., 2017ther four prov 		Level I	
randomized clinical trial- Fisher et al., 2003amp There phaEvaluating needle exchange: are there negative effects?- Guydish et al. 1993Level IIIIn m rep repCessation of injection drug use and change in injection frequency: the Chicago Needle Exchange Evaluation Study Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., & Ouellet, L. J., 2006Level IIIOne- morBenefits of concurrent syringe exchange and substance abuse treatment participation.; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchange referrals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 & 2018Level IIPartic Partic opic opic opic opic opic opicNeedle exchange program utilization and entry into drug user treatment: is there a long-term connection in Baltimore, Maryland Latkin et al., 2008Level II*These add morNeedle exchange program utilization for injection drug use: A clinical and public health ethics analysis Vearrier, L., 2019Level II*These add morPatterns of injection drug use cessation during an expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian setting Werb et al., 2013Level II*There add add mor	people who inject drugs - An overview of systematic	Level I	ther four prog
Guydish et al. 1993rep.Cessation of injection drug use and change in injection frequency: the Chicago Needle Exchange Evaluation Study Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., & Ouellet, L. J., 2006Level IIIBenefits of concurrent syringe exchange and substance abuse treatment participation.; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchange referrals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 & 		Level II	amp •There
frequency: the Chicago Needle Exchange Evaluation Study Huo, D., Bailey, S. L., & Ouellet, L. J., 2006mor The f peri- 		Level III	repo • The
abuse treatment participation.; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchangers.; Treatment initiation strategies for syringe exchange referrals to methadone maintenance: A 	frequency: the Chicago Needle Exchange Evaluation	Level III	mor •The f perio •Older
drug user treatment: is there a long-term connection in Baltimore, Maryland Latkin et al., 2006•Rapp treatmentComparison of 1-year substance abuse treatment outcomes in community syringe exchange participants versus other referrals Neufeld et al., 2008Level IIIThe value of harm reduction for injection drug use: A clinical and public health ethics analysis Vearrier, L., 2019Level IIPatterns of injection drug use cessation during an expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian setting Werb et al., 2013Level II	abuse treatment participation.; An observation of lower rates of drug use over time in community syringe exchangers.; Treatment initiation strategies for syringe exchange referrals to methadone maintenance: A randomized clinical trial Kidorf et al., 2011, 2013 &	Level I	use in th opic •Treat in co •The r add
outcomes in community syringe exchange participants versus other referrals Neufeld et al., 2008and treat treatThe value of harm reduction for injection drug use: A clinical and public health ethics analysis Vearrier, L., 2019Level II•Drug is conforce force •methPatterns of injection drug use cessation during an expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian setting Werb et al., 2013Level II•There cess availe availe •The at	drug user treatment: is there a long-term connection in	Level II	•Rapp
clinical and public health ethics analysis Vearrier, L., 2019is conformation formationPatterns of injection drug use cessation during an expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian setting Werb et al., 2013Level II•There cess •Throw avail •The addition	outcomes in community syringe exchange participants	Level III	and
expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian setting Werb et al., 2013 •Throu avai •The a	clinical and public health ethics analysis Vearrier, L.,	Level II	is co force
	expansion of syringe exchange services in a Canadian	Level II	cess •Throu avai •The a

Major Findings

of NEP users were admitted to methadone treatment programs and showed positive sponses, which were comparable to non-NEP groups.

Ps can reach a broader range of IV drug users as 42% users had no history of opioid onist therapy.

Ps will be more effective in cities where they have more potential clients and thus can ve a larger public health impact.

effectiveness of the NEP correlates with the program's availability to needles, erapists and additional treatment options. In addition, the effectiveness of the NEP was ind to be dependent on the program's ability to reach its community through outreach

le IVDA increases risk for blood-borne pathogens, HIV and HCV in particular, NEPs ovided a vast impact on user decision-making and needle sharing.

re was a greater decrease in opioid reduction on follow up drug tests in comparison to phetamine reduction.

re was a higher reduction in opioid usage in those who were assigned to NEPs vs armacy sales and education.

non-needle exchange areas in SF, there were a greater change in admissions porting IV drug use from 1988 to 1990 (1.4% NEP vs 4.2% non-NEP)

nere were also a greater frequency of injections reported in those living in non-needle change areas from 1988 to 1990 (8.2% NEP vs 14.4% non-NEP)

e-sixth of the recruited participants stopped injection drug use on average for 16

frequency of injection drug use decreased by 12% per year throughout the study riod, 1997 - 2000.

er IDUs were less likely to engage in injection drug use cessation than younger IDUs t older IDUs were more likely to decrease drug use frequency.

ticipants enrolled in treatment groups reported fewer drug using days and overall drug e days in comparison to their baseline. No-treatment groups also reported a decrease the amount of drug using days while enrolled in the NEP; however, the number of ioids injected overall did not decrease.

atment enrolled participants had a greater reduction in illegal activities and incarceration comparison to non-treatment groups.

number of days a participant was enrolled in the NEP, regardless of what kind of ditional treatment they received, correlated with the success of opioid cessation. The ore days a subject was enrolled, the greater success in opioid reduction was achieved.

se who utilize NEPs are likely to enter drug treatment programs. port built between staff of NEPs and PWID indirectly leads to a higher likelihood atment will be sought out.

referrals used more opioids and cocaine, had greater baseline frequency of substance d injection drug use, and younger age. They were less likely to complete 1 year atment (35% NEP vs 56% non-NEP).

g addiction is compulsive, and patients lose autonomy therefore, promoting autonomy considered a vital factor when treating addiction. To promote autonomy, one does not ce drug treatment or abstinence but rather put control back into the patient's hands. hadone maintenance has been demonstrated to decrease deaths related to heroin use.

re was a significant increase in the proportion of IDUs reporting injection drug use ssation during the 15-year study period.

oughout the study, it was observed that with the increase in the number of NSPs ailable in Vancouver there were increasing rates of drug cessation. availability of NSPs does not contribute to the delayed cessation of injection drugs but her improves cessation rates.

& Diana Tran

Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing

Conclusions

- NEPs were successful in reducing bloodborne pathogen transmission via IV drug use (CDC, 2019).
- NEPs can act as a bridge to treatment and did not contribute to an increase in injection use.
- Cessation among those utilizing NEPs in the US, as an independent variable, is not measurable with available evidence.
- Opioid use cessation requires a multimodal approach to be successful.

Recommendations

- Urgency is needed in addressing IV opioid epidemic.
- Further studies are needed to conclude efficacy of NEPs in relation to rates of cessation in the homeless population.
- Providers should a take a step-wise approach and encourage patients who are ambivalent in starting drug treatment to consider NEPs.
- In addition to NEPs, supplemental approaches such as support groups and/or behavioral counseling can have vast benefits.
- Providers should also have training on destigmatizing substance abuse.

References

•American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU). (2021). Needle Exchange Programs Promote Public Safety. https://www.aclu.org/fact-sheet/needle-exchange-programspromote-public-safety#13 •Syringe Services Programs (SSPs) FAQs | CDC. (2019, May 23). CDC. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/ssp/syringe-services-programs-</u> taq.html

