

## Team Agreements Awards Selection Rubric

Criteria	Exemplary (5 points)	Proficient (3 points)	Developing (1 point)	Score
<b>Alignment with Agreement</b>	Demonstrates clear and consistent alignment with the nominated agreement(s); includes multiple strong, relevant examples.	Aligns with the agreement(s), but lacks multiple or detailed examples.	Weak or unclear alignment with the agreement(s).	
<b>Quality of Example(s)</b>	Provides highly specific, detailed, and relevant examples illustrating nominee's behavior and its outcomes.	Provides some examples, but lacks detail or relevance.	Examples are vague or insufficiently detailed.	
<b>Context and Impact</b>	Strong context provided, illustrating a deep understanding of the nominee's role and the significant impact of their actions on team or school culture.	Some context is provided but lacks clarity on impact.	Minimal or unclear context and little evidence of impact.	
<b>Nomination Completeness</b>	Well-written, thorough nomination that clearly addresses all required components (agreement, example, context, impact).	Addresses the core components, but lacks depth or cohesion in some areas.	Incomplete nomination that misses core components.	

### Scoring:

- **Exemplary:** 18-20 points
- **Proficient:** 12-17 points
- **Developing:** 6-11 points
- **Does Not Meet:** Below 6 points

*\*Nominations must be scored proficient or higher to move forward for award selection.*