



🥦 Summary	There are racial and ethnic differences in public attitudes towards and use of California's extreme risk protection order (ERPO) law. These findings indicate potential inequities in the policy's implementation and suggest opportunities for improvement in states with ERPO laws.		
Background	ERPOs temporarily prohibit the purchase and possession of firearms from people judged to be at particularly high risk of harming themselves or others. Due to the long history of the criminal legal system being used to perpetuate racist policies, it is imperative that firearm violence prevention policies and their implementation are not further entrenching racial disparities. This study asked: <i>Are there differences in ERPO perceptions and use across racial/ethnic groups?</i>		
E Methods	This two-part study included a state-representative survey of adults in California in 2020 (the California Safety and Wellbeing Survey, CSaWS) and abstracted ERPO court case files from 2016-2018. Data were analyzed by race/ethnicity.		Big Picture
range of scenarios and many wou However, Black and Hispanic/Lati less willing to petition for an ERPC Percent of survey respondents "r family member in any Black, non-Hispanic Hispanic/Latinx Asian, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic	Californians generally consider ERPOs appropriate in a uld be willing to use them with a few caveats. nx Californians see ERPOs as less appropriate and are 0 for a family member compared with most other groups. not at all willing" to ask a judge for an ERPO for a of 5 risk scenarios, by race/ethnicity 23.2%	 Court Case Review Of the 194 California ERPO cases analyzed: Black or Hispanic/Latinx respondents had family/household members as petitioners. Black respondents had legal representation at the hearing for the final order. Black respondents were more likely to not have known firearm access and less likely to be issued an order after a hearing than respondents of other racial/ethnic groups. 	 <u>This study</u>: The first to explore attitudes towards and use of ERPOs through a racial equity lens, this study highlights a need to increase equity in ERPO implementation. Results provide the basis for further investigation into racial/ethnic disparities in perceptions and use of ERPOs nationwide. <u>Looking ahead</u>: Future work should directly engage members of marginalized communities to inform policy and other efforts to promote equitable access to and implementation of ERPOs.

Read the full study: Pear et al. Prev Med 2022. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.107181</u> This manuscript is co-authored by a researcher from the University of Colorado School of Medicine. This work was supported by funding from Fund for a Safer Future, California Wellness Foundation, Heising-Simons Foundation, and the California Firearm Violence Research Center.